

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,277	02/18/2004	Marcio Gerep	040059 2051		
23464 RUCHANAN	7590 05/24/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 140	4	NGUYEN, CHI Q			
ALEXANDRI.	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
*		''					
Office Action Summary		10/781,277		GEREP, MARCIO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Chi Q. Nguy		3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no even will apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 February 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10,13,14 and 19-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-6,11,12,15-18 and 22-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10,13,14 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 February 2007</u> is/are		pted or b)⊠ objected	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Not	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🖾 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's patent application filed on 12/18/2004.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig. 1.

Species II: Fig. 3.

Species III: Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species MPEP 809.02 (2). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Lynn Alstadt on 5/9/2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I (Fig. 1). Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-6, 11-12, 15-18, and 22-34 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the at least some of the anchoring studs must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Application/Control Number: 10/781,277

Art Unit: 3635

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 14, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regarding claims 1, and 13 the claimed language "the type" in line 1; "at least some of the anchoring studs comprised of a cylindrical body..." in lines 2-3; and "refractory material being in at least some of the grooves" in lines 5-6, respectively, are confusing and indefinite. Claims 2, 7-10, 14, and 19-21 depending upon the rejected claims 1 and 13 are also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3635

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

As best understood, claims 1, 2, 7-10, 13, 14, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 1,884,491 to Ziemann.

Claims 1 and 13:

Ziemann discloses an anchor stud 13 comprising of cylindrical body having a top, a bottom and a side wall extending from the top to the bottom, the side wall having a plurality of grooves 14 extending from the top toward the bottom and refractory material 12 being in at least some of the grooves when the stud 13 being secured against the material 12 by the grooves portion (see Figs. 1-2). There is only one anchor stud 13 shown by Ziemann; however it would have obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to have more than one anchor studs for securing more plates 11 to handle 10.

Claims 2 and 14:

Wherein at least some of the grooves 14 are parallel to a longitudinal centerline through the stud and parallel to one another.

Claims 7-8 and 19-20:

Ziemann discloses the basic structures for the anchor stud as stated above but does not expressly disclose wherein the studs are low carbon steel and a corrosion resistant or erosion resistant coating applied to at least a portion of the body of each stud. However, this feature would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have such stud anchor is made out of low carbon and have a corrosive coating for stronger wall and free of

corrosion for the stud. Furthermore, applicant has not disclosed the criticality of this feature.

Claims 9 and 21:

Wherein at least some of the studs having a cross-sectional shape is a circle (see Fig. 2).

Claim 10:

Ziemann discloses the basic structures for the anchor stud as stated above but does not expressly disclose wherein the refractor material is cement or ceramic. However, this feature would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Furthermore, applicant has not disclosed the criticality of this feature.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published Application/Control Number: 10/781,277

Art Unit: 3635

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

CQN 5/10/2007

Jin 12 36 85

25 14 07